Coloring Graphs and Beyond Joint work with Soheil Anbouhi

Abdullah Naeem Malik

Department of Mathematics College of Arts and Sciences Florida State University

April 15, 2024

Definition

A coloring of a (undirected) graph G = (V, E) is a function $c : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Definition

A coloring of a (undirected) graph G = (V, E) is a function $c : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Definition

A (perfect) hashing is any injective function.

Definition

A coloring of a (undirected) graph G = (V, E) is a function $c : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Definition

A (perfect) hashing is any injective function.

Basic idea

start with $c(v) = c^{(0)}(v)$, and $c^{(t+1)}(v) = hash(c^{(t)}(v), \{\!\{c^{(t)}(w) : w \in N(v)\}\!\})[WL68]$

Definition

A coloring of a (undirected) graph G = (V, E) is a function $c : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Definition

A (perfect) hashing is any injective function.

Basic idea

start with $c(v) = c^{(0)}(v)$, and $c^{(t+1)}(v) = hash(c^{(t)}(v), \{\!\!\{c^{(t)}(w) : w \in N(v)\}\!\})[WL68]$

Weisfeiler-Lehman Test

If two graphs have different colorings, then the graphs are not isomorphic. Test is inconclusive if coloring of graphs is the same[MBHSL19]

















Weisfeiler-Leman Algorithm[WL68]

Recall..

A coloring of a graph G = (V, E) at iteration t is a function $c^{(t)} : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$. A (perfect) hashing is any injective function.

Weisfeiler-Leman Algorithm[WL68]

Recall..

A coloring of a graph G = (V, E) at iteration t is a function $c^{(t)} : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$. A (perfect) hashing is any injective function.

Algorithm Weisfeiler-Leman (WL) or Naive vertex refinement[WL68]

1: Input:
$$(V, E, X_V)$$

2: $c(v) = c^{(0)}(v) \leftarrow hash(x_v)$
3: while $c^{(t)}(v) = c^{(t+1)}(v) \forall v \in V$ do
4: $c^{(t+1)}(v) \leftarrow hash(c^{(t)}(v), \{\{c^{(t)}(w) : w \in N(v)\}\})$
5: Output: $c^{(T)}(v) \forall v \in V$

Weisfeiler-Leman Algorithm[WL68]

Recall..

A coloring of a graph G = (V, E) at iteration t is a function $c^{(t)} : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$. A (perfect) hashing is any injective function.

Algorithm Weisfeiler-Leman (WL) or Naive vertex refinement[WL68]

1: Input:
$$(V, E, X_V)$$

2: $c(v) = c^{(0)}(v) \leftarrow hash(x_v)$
3: while $c^{(t)}(v) = c^{(t+1)}(v) \forall v \in V$ do
4: $c^{(t+1)}(v) \leftarrow hash(c^{(t)}(v), \{\!\{c^{(t)}(w) : w \in N(v)\}\!\})$
5: Output: $c^{(T)}(v) \forall v \in V$
Secretly message passing!

Neural Networks



$$x_{\nu}^{(k+1)} = \mathsf{COMBINE}\left(x_{\nu}^{(k)}, \mathsf{AGGREGATE}^{(k+1)}\left(\left\{x_{u}^{(k)} : u \in \mathsf{N}(\nu)\right\}\right)\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{v}^{(k+1)} = &\mathsf{COMBINE}\Big(x_{v}^{(k)}, \mathsf{AGGREGATE}^{(k+1)}\left(\left\{x_{u}^{(k)} : u \in N(v)\right\}\right)\Big) \\ & F^{out} = \psi \circ \left((AW + I)F\right). \\ & \psi : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Examples of Message Passing

AGGREGATECOMBINERefMAX
$$\left(\left\{ \sigma \left(W_1. x_u^{(k)} \right) \right\}, u \in N(v) \right)$$
 $W_2. \left[x_v^{(k)}, a_v^{(k+1)} \right]$ GraphSAGE[HYL17] $W_1.MEAN \left(x_u^{(k)}, u \in N(v) \cup \{v\} \right)$ $\sigma \left(\left\{ W_2. a_v^{(k+1)} \right\} \right)$ GCN[KW08]

How powerful are graph neural networks?[MBHSL19, XHLJ18]

Theorem

Let G_1 and G_2 be any two non-isomorphic graphs. If a graph neural network $f : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ maps G_1 and G_2 to different embeddings, the Weisfeiler-Leman graph isomorphism test also decides G_1 and G_2 are not isomorphic. Converse holds if COMBINE and AGGREGATE are injective[XHLJ18].

How powerful are graph neural networks?[MBHSL19, XHLJ18]

Theorem

Let G_1 and G_2 be any two non-isomorphic graphs. If a graph neural network $f: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ maps G_1 and G_2 to different embeddings, the Weisfeiler-Leman graph isomorphism test also decides G_1 and G_2 are not isomorphic. Converse holds if COMBINE and AGGREGATE are injective[XHLJ18].

Proof sketch

Compare

$$x_{v}^{(k+1)} = \text{COMBINE}\left(x_{v}^{(k)}, \text{AGGREGATE}^{(k+1)}\left(\left\{x_{u}^{(k)} : u \in N(v)\right\}\right)\right)$$

with
 $c^{(t+1)}(v) = \text{hash}\left(c^{(t)}(v), \{\!\!\{c^{(t)}(w) : w \in N(v)\}\!\!\}\right)$

Limits of WL Test

WL Test fails to distinguish the following graphs:



k-Weisfeiler-Leman Algorithm

Algorithm *k*-Weisfeiler-Leman (*k*-WL)

1: Input:
$$(V, E, X_V)$$
 Here, $x_v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^d$
2: $c(\overrightarrow{v}) = c^{(0)}(\overrightarrow{v}) \leftarrow hash(x_{\overrightarrow{v}})$
3: while $c^{(t)}(\overrightarrow{v}) = c^{(t+1)}(\overrightarrow{v}) \forall \overrightarrow{v} \in V^k$ do
4: $c_i^{(t+1)}(\overrightarrow{v}) \leftarrow \{\!\!\{c^{(t)}(\overrightarrow{w}) : w \in N_i(\overrightarrow{v})\}\!\} \forall \overrightarrow{v} \in V^k$
5: $c^{(t+1)}(\overrightarrow{v}) \leftarrow hash(c^{(t)}(\overrightarrow{v}), c_1^{(t+1)}(\overrightarrow{v}), ..., c_k^{(t+1)}(\overrightarrow{v})) \forall \overrightarrow{v} \in V^k$
6: Output: $c^{(T)}(\overrightarrow{v}) \forall \overrightarrow{v} \in V^k$

where $hash(x_{\overrightarrow{v}}) = hash(x_{\overrightarrow{w}})$ iff **(a)** $x_{v_i} = x_{w_i}$ and **(b)** $(v_i, v_j) \in E$ iff $(w_i, w_j) \in E$. **N.B.:** $N_i(\overrightarrow{v}) = \{(v_1, ..., v_{i-1}, u, v_{i+1}, ..., v_k) : u \in V\}.$



WL Test fails to distinguish the following graphs, whereas 5-WL does not:



WL Test fails to distinguish the following graphs, whereas 5-WL does not:

k-WL is strictly weaker than (k + 1)-WL[HV21]



WL Test fails to distinguish the following graphs, whereas 5-WL does not:

k-WL is strictly weaker than (k + 1)-WL[HV21]

However.. for every k, there is an infinite family of graphs for which the k-WL test fails[CFI92]



Simplicial WL [BFW⁺21]: $c^{t+1}(\sigma)$ =hash of coloring at t of σ and..



Simplicial WL [BFW⁺21]: $c^{t+1}(\sigma)$ =hash of coloring at t of σ and.. coloring of its upper-neighbors, lower-neighbors, boundaries and coboundaries.



Simplicial WL [BFW⁺21]: $c^{t+1}(\sigma)$ =hash of coloring at t of σ and.. coloring of its upper-neighbors, lower-neighbors, boundaries and coboundaries.



Simplicial WL [BFW⁺21]: $c^{t+1}(\sigma)$ =hash of coloring at t of σ and.. coloring of its upper-neighbors, lower-neighbors, boundaries and coboundaries.

SWL is strictly stronger than 3-WL[BFW⁺21]

Lemma

For each $k \leq n$ and $a : V(G)^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $c : \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where dim $\mathcal{K} = n$, SWL is strictly stronger than k-WL.

Abdullah Naeem Malik (FSU)



Simplicial WL [BFW⁺21]: $c^{t+1}(\sigma)$ =hash of coloring at t of σ and.. coloring of its upper-neighbors, lower-neighbors, boundaries and coboundaries.

SWL is strictly stronger than 3-WL[BFW⁺21]

Lemma

For each $k \leq n$ and $a : V(G)^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $c : \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where dim $\mathcal{K} = n$, SWL is (almost) strictly stronger than k-WL.

Can we get better directions?

Can we get better directions?

Algorithm Directed Weisfeiler-Leman (DWL)[MG21]

1: Input: (V, E, X_V) 2: $c(v) = c^{(0)}(v) \leftarrow hash(x_v)$ 3: while $c^{(t)}(v) = c^{(t+1)}(v) \forall v \in V$ do 4: $c^{(t+1)}(v) \leftarrow hash \begin{pmatrix} c^{(t)}(v), \{\{c^{(t)}(w) : w \in N_{in}(v)\}\}, \\ \{\{c^{(t)}(u) : w \in N_{out}(u)\}\} \end{pmatrix}$ 5: Output: $c^{(T)}(v) \forall v \in V$

 $G_1 \longrightarrow G_0$

Directed Graph

 $G_1 \bigcirc G_0$

Directed Graph

 G_1 G_0

Directed Graph

 $X_3 \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow X_1$ [™] X₀ • • •

Simplicial Set



Directed Graph

Simplicial Set



 $\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3 \in X_0$

 $e_{01}, e_{02}, e_{03}, e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{23} \in X_1$

 $f_{012}, f_{013}, \ f_{023}, f_{123} \in X_2$

 $g_{0123} \in X_3$

• Assign all simplices of every dimension of two simplicial sets the same initial color.

- Assign all simplices of every dimension of two simplicial sets the same initial color.
- Update colors according to Simplicial Set Weisfeiler-Lehman Test (SSWL)

- Assign all simplices of every dimension of two simplicial sets the same initial color.
- Update colors according to Simplicial Set Weisfeiler-Lehman Test (SSWL)
- If coloring distribution of the two simplicial sets is different, then the two simplicial sets are not isomorphic

- Assign all simplices of every dimension of two simplicial sets the same initial color.
- Update colors according to Simplicial Set Weisfeiler-Lehman Test (SSWL)
- If coloring distribution of the two simplicial sets is different, then the two simplicial sets are not isomorphic

Update rules for SSWL

 $c^{t+1}(\sigma) =$ hash of coloring at t of σ and coloring of its

- Assign all simplices of every dimension of two simplicial sets the same initial color.
- Update colors according to Simplicial Set Weisfeiler-Lehman Test (SSWL)
- If coloring distribution of the two simplicial sets is different, then the two simplicial sets are not isomorphic

Update rules for SSWL

 $c^{t+1}(\sigma) =$ hash of coloring at t of σ and coloring of its boundaries, coboundaries, upper-neighbors and lower-neighbors whenever $\sigma \in X_k$

- Assign all simplices of every dimension of two simplicial sets the same initial color.
- Update colors according to Simplicial Set Weisfeiler-Lehman Test (SSWL)
- If coloring distribution of the two simplicial sets is different, then the two simplicial sets are not isomorphic

Update rules for SSWL

 $c^{t+1}(\sigma)$ =hash of coloring at t of σ and coloring of its *i*-th boundaries, *i*-th coboundaries, *i*-th upper-neighbors and *i*-th lower-neighbors for $0 \le i \le k$ whenever $\sigma \in X_k$

















Message Passing with Higher Dimensional Data

Summary:

□ 3 -WL □ SW/	DWL	WL	
3 -WL ⊔ <i>SW/</i>		\Box	
⊔ <i>SW</i> /		3 -WL	
SW/I		\Box	
SWE		SWL	

Message Passing with Higher Dimensional Data

DWL \square WL \square \square ? k-WL \square \square SSWL \square

References I

- Cristian Bodnar, Fabrizio Frasca, Yuguang Wang, Nina Otter, Guido F Montufar, Pietro Lio, and Michael Bronstein, Weisfeiler and lehman go topological: Message passing simplicial networks, International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR, 2021, pp. 1026–1037.
- Jin-Yi Cai, Martin Fürer, and Neil Immerman, *An optimal lower bound on the number of variables for graph identification*, Combinatorica **12** (1992), no. 4, 389–410.
- Glenn Fowler, Robert Haralick, F Gail Gray, Charles Feustel, and Charles Grinstead, *Efficient graph automorphism by vertex partitioning*, Artificial Intelligence **21** (1983), no. 1-2, 245–269.
- Weihua Hu, Matthias Fey, Marinka Zitnik, Yuxiao Dong, Hongyu Ren, Bowen Liu, Michele Catasta, and Jure Leskovec, *Open graph benchmark: Datasets for machine learning on graphs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.00687 (2020).

References II

- Ningyuan Teresa Huang and Soledad Villar, A short tutorial on the weisfeiler-lehman test and its variants, ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2021, pp. 8533–8537.
- Will Hamilton, Zhitao Ying, and Jure Leskovec, *Inductive representation learning on large graphs*, Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017).
- Thomas N Kipf and Max Welling, Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks, IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks 20 (2008), no. 1, 61–80.
- Haggai Maron, Heli Ben-Hamu, Hadar Serviansky, and Yaron Lipman, Provably powerful graph networks, Advances in neural information processing systems 32 (2019).

References III

- - Martin Mladenov Pascal Schweitzer Martin Grohe, Kristian Kersting, *Color refinement and its applications*, An Introduction to Lifted Probabilistic Inference (Sriraam Natarajan Davide Poole Guy Van den Broeck, Kristian Kersting, ed.), MIT Press, 2021.
- Boris Weisfeiler and Andrei Leman, *The reduction of a graph to canonical form and the algebra which appears therein*, nti, Series **2** (1968), no. 9, 12–16.
- Keyulu Xu, Weihua Hu, Jure Leskovec, and Stefanie Jegelka, *How powerful are graph neural networks?*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.00826 (2018).

Thank you!