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## Definition

A coloring of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a function $c: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

## Definition

A (perfect) hashing is any injective function.
Basic idea: start with $c(v)=c^{(0)}(v)$, and $c^{(t+1)}(v)=\operatorname{hash}\left(c^{(t)}(v),\left\{\left\{c^{(t)}(w): w \in N(v)\right\}\right)[W L 68]\right.$

## Weisfeiler-Lehman Algorithm



Graph 1


## Source:

https://davidbieber.com/post/2019-05-10-weisfeiler-lehman-isomorphism-test/

## Weisfeiler-Lehman Algorithm



Graph 1


Source:
https://davidbieber.com/post/2019-05-10-weisfeiler-lehman-isomorphism-test/

## Weisfeiler-Lehman Algorithm



## Source:

https://davidbieber.com/post/2019-05-10-weisfeiler-lehman-isomorphism-test/

## Weisfeiler-Lehman Algorithm



## Source:

https://davidbieber.com/post/2019-05-10-weisfeiler-lehman-isomorphism-test/

## Weisfeiler-Lehman Algorithm



Graph 1


Source:
https://davidbieber.com/post/2019-05-10-weisfeiler-lehman-isomorphism-test/

## Weisfeiler-Lehman Algorithm



Graph 1


Source:
https://davidbieber.com/post/2019-05-10-weisfeiler-lehman-isomorphism-test/

## Weisfeiler-Lehman Algorithm



Graph 1


Source:
https://davidbieber.com/post/2019-05-10-weisfeiler-lehman-isomorphism-test/

## Weisfeiler-Lehman Algorithm



## Source:

https://davidbieber.com/post/2019-05-10-weisfeiler-lehman-isomorphism-test/

## Weisfeiler-Lehman Algorithm

Algorithm 1 Weisfeiler-Lehman (WL) or Naive vertex refinement

```
1: Input: \(\left(V, E, X_{V}\right)\)
\(\left\{\triangleright x_{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d}\right\}\)
2: \(c(v)=c^{(0)}(v) \longleftarrow\) hash \(\left(x_{v}\right)\)
3: while \(c^{(t)}(v)=c^{(t+1)}(v) \forall v \in V\) do
4: \(\quad c^{(t+1)}(v) \longleftarrow \operatorname{hash}\left(c^{(t)}(v),\left\{\left\{c^{(t)}(w): w \in N(v)\right\}\right\}\right)\)
5: end while
```

6: Output: $c^{(T)}(v) \forall v \in V$
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If two graphs have different colorings, then the graphs are not isomorphic. But the converse is not true[MBHSL19]
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How powerful are graph neural networks?[MBHSL19, XHLJ18]
For node classification: Given $\left(V, E, X_{V}\right)$, find $h_{v}=x_{v}^{(K)}$ and $f$ such that $f\left(h_{v}\right)=x_{v}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{v}^{(k+1)}=\operatorname{AGGREGATE}^{(k+1)}\left(\left\{x_{u}^{(k)}: u \in N(v)\right\}\right), \\
& x_{v}^{(k+1)}=\operatorname{COMBINE}^{(k+1)}\left(x_{v}^{(k)}, a_{v}^{(k+1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## AGGREGATE

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{MAX}\left(\left\{\sigma\left(W_{1} \cdot x_{u}^{(k)}\right)\right\}, u \in N(v)\right) & W_{2} \cdot\left[x_{v}^{(k)}, a_{v}^{(k+1)}\right. \\
W_{1} \cdot \operatorname{MEAN}\left(x_{u}^{(k)}, u \in N(v) \cup\{v\}\right) & \sigma\left(\left\{W_{2} \cdot a_{v}^{(k+1)}\right\}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Ref
GraphSAGE GCN
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## Graph Neural Networks

$x_{v}^{\mathrm{or}}{ }_{(\ddot{k}+1)}=\operatorname{COMBINE}\left(x_{v}^{(k)}, \operatorname{AGGREGATE}^{(k+1)}\left(\left\{x_{u}^{(k)}: u \in N(v)\right\}\right)\right)$
Compare with
$c^{(t+1)}(v)=\operatorname{hash}\left(c^{(t)}(v),\left\{\left\{c^{(t)}(w): w \in N(v)\right\}\right\}\right)$

## Theorem

Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be any two non-isomorphic graphs. If a graph neural network $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ maps $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ to different embeddings, the Weisfeiler-Lehman graph isomorphism test also decides $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are not isomorphic. Converse holds if COMBINE and AGGREGATE are injective[XHLJ18].

## k-Weisfeiler-Lehman Algorithm

Algorithm $1 k$-Weisfeiler-Lehman ( $k$-WL)
1: Input: $\left(V, E, X_{V}\right)$
2: $c(\vec{v})=c^{(0)}(\vec{v}) \longleftarrow$ hash $\left(x_{\vec{v}}\right)$
3: while $c^{(t)}(\vec{v})=c^{(t+1)}(\vec{v}) \forall \vec{v} \in V^{k}$ do
4: $\quad c_{i}^{(t+1)}(\vec{V}) \longleftarrow\left\{\left\{c^{(t)}(\vec{w}): w \in N_{i}(\vec{v})\right\}\right\} \forall \vec{V} \in V^{k}$
5: $\quad c^{(t+1)}(\vec{v}) \longleftarrow$ hash $\left(c^{(t)}(\vec{v}), c_{1}^{(t+1)}(\vec{v}), \ldots, c_{k}^{(t+1)}(\vec{v})\right) \forall \vec{v} \in V^{k}$
6: end while
7: Output: $c^{(T)}(\vec{v}) \forall \vec{V} \in V^{k}$

Here, hash $\left(x_{\vec{v}}\right)=\operatorname{hash}\left(x_{\vec{w}}\right)$ iff $x_{v_{i}}=x_{w_{i}}$ and if $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in E$ iff $\left(w_{i}, w_{j}\right) \in E$ and $N_{i}(\vec{v})=\left\{\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}, u, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right): u \in V\right\}$
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Algorithm $3 k$-Weisfeiler-Lehman ( $k$-WL)
1: Input: $\left(V, E, X_{V}\right)$
2: $c(\vec{v})=c^{(0)}(\vec{v}) \longleftarrow$ hash $\left(x_{\vec{v}}\right)$
3: while $c^{(t)}(\vec{v})=c^{(t+1)}(\vec{v}) \forall \vec{v} \in V^{k}$ do
4: $\quad c_{i}^{(t+1)}(\vec{V}) \longleftarrow\left\{\left\{c^{(t)}(\vec{w}): w \in N_{i}(\vec{v})\right\}\right\} \forall \vec{V} \in V^{k}$
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Here, hash $\left(x_{\vec{v}}\right)=\operatorname{hash}\left(x_{\vec{w}}\right)$ iff $x_{v_{i}}=x_{w_{i}}$ and if $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in E$ iff $\left(w_{i}, w_{j}\right) \in E$ and $N_{i}(\vec{v})=\left\{\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}, u, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right): u \in V\right\}$
$N_{i}(\vec{v})=\left\{\left(i, v_{2} \ldots, v_{k}\right),\left(v_{1}, i, \ldots, v_{k}\right), \ldots,\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}, i\right): i \in V\right\}$ for Folklore k-WL
For directed graphs, use
$c^{t+1}(v) \longleftarrow \operatorname{hash}\left(c^{(t)}(v),\left\{\left\{c^{(t)}(w): w \in N_{\text {in }}(v)\right\},\left\{\left\{c^{(t)}(w): w \in N_{\text {out }}(v)\right\}\right\}\right)\right.$ [MG21]
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Can be used to make different neural networks.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{S}^{(t)}=\sigma\left(x_{S}^{(t-1)} \cdot W_{1}^{(t)}+\sum_{u \in N_{L}(S) \cup N_{G}(S)} x_{u}^{(t-1)} \cdot W_{2}^{(t)}\right) \text { (global) } \\
& x_{S}^{(t)}=\sigma\left(x_{S}^{(t-1)} \cdot W_{1}^{(t)}+\sum_{u \in N_{L}(S)} x_{u}^{(t-1)} \cdot W_{2}^{(t)}\right) \text { (local) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$,
$N_{L}(S)=\left\{T \in V^{k}:|S \cap T|=k-1,(v, w) \in E\right.$ for some unique $\left.v, w \in S \backslash T\right\}$ $N(S)=\left\{T \in V^{k}:|S \cap T|=k-1\right\}, N_{G}(S)=N(S) \backslash N_{L}(S)\left[\mathrm{MRF}^{+} 19\right]$.
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## Strength of WL Tests

- $2-\mathrm{WL} \equiv \mathrm{WL}[\mathrm{MBHSL} 19]$
- $k-W L$ is strictly weaker than $(k+1)-\mathrm{WL}[\mathrm{HV} 21]((k+1)-\mathrm{WL} \sqsubset k-\mathrm{WL})$
- Therefore, the architecture of [MRF $\left.{ }^{+} 19\right]$ performs better than simple message passing.
- $(k+1)-\mathrm{WL} \equiv k$-FWL[HV21]
- For every $k$, there is an infinite family of graphs for which the $k-W L$ test fails[CFI92]
- Note: DWL $\sqsubseteq W L$, so GNN with directed edges are more powerful[RCDG ${ }^{+}$23]
$a_{v}^{(k+1)}=\operatorname{AGGREGATE}^{(k+1)}\left(\left\{x_{u}^{(k)}, x_{v}^{(k)}:(u, v) \in E\right\}\right)$
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$c^{t+1}(\sigma) \longleftarrow \operatorname{hash}\left(c^{t}(\sigma), c_{\mathcal{B}}^{t}(\sigma), c_{\mathcal{C}}^{t}(\sigma), c_{\downarrow}^{t}(\sigma), c_{\uparrow}^{t}(\sigma)\right)$
Simplicial WL is more powerful than 3-WL[BFW $\left.{ }^{+} 21\right]$

## Conjecture

For each $n \leq k \leq|V(G)|$ and $a: V(G)^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $c: S_{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ we have $\left.c \sqsubseteq a\right|_{S_{n}}$, where $S_{n}$ is the collection of directed $n$-simplices.
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- That is, a graph is a 1 d simplicial set[Lur23].
- An undirected graph is a 1d symmetric simplicial set: a functor $U G: \Delta_{\leq 1}^{o p} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ et such that $t_{i}^{n}: U G_{i} \longrightarrow U G_{i}$ is a bijection for $i=0, \ldots, n-1$
- Therefore, DL $\sqsubseteq \mathrm{WL}$
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Clique complexes of graphs are given by Kan Extensions of $U G$ along $i$
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## Lemma

Simplicial Set WL■Simplicial WL■ WL

- Simplicial Set WL:

$$
c^{t+1}(\sigma) \longleftarrow \operatorname{hash}\left(c^{t}(\sigma), c_{\mathcal{B}_{i}}^{t}(\sigma), c_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}^{t}(\sigma), c_{\downarrow, i}^{t}(\sigma), c_{\uparrow, i}^{t}(\sigma)\right)
$$

- $\equiv \operatorname{hash}\left(c^{t}(\sigma), c_{\mathcal{B}_{i}}^{t}(\sigma), c_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}^{t}(\sigma)\right)$
- A hypergraph is a presheaf of the category $[0] \longrightarrow[i]$ for $i=1,2, \ldots$.
- So, Simplicial Set WLநHypergraph WL

In summary:
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## Algorithm 6 Creating 1 -skeleton of the geometric realization of standard $n$-simplex

1: Inputn
2: for $i$ from 1 to $n$ do
3: $\quad$ for $j$ from 1 to $n$ do
4: if $i<j$ then $\operatorname{src} \leftarrow \operatorname{src}+[i]$
dst $\leftarrow$ dst $+[j]$
edges $\leftarrow$ edges $+[(i, j)]$
end if
end for
10: end for
11: Output List of directed edges edges, source vertices src and target vertices

## Top Vertices

## Definition

A vertex $v \in G_{0}$ is said to be a top vertex of dimension $k$ if there is a simplicial set $x$ of dimension $k$ such that $d_{0}^{(1)} d_{0}^{(2)} \ldots d_{0}^{(k-1)} d_{0}^{(k)} x=v$, where $d_{0}^{(k)}: X_{k} \longrightarrow X_{k-1}$ is the 0-th face map.
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## Lemma

Let $A$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$, and $\widetilde{A}=A-\operatorname{diag}(A)$. If $v$ is a top vertex for a k-simplex $x$, then the $v$-th column of $A \odot\left(\widetilde{A}+\widetilde{A}^{2}+\ldots+\widetilde{A}^{k}\right)$ is a decreasing sequence (possibly after a permutation), starting with $\sum_{n=0}^{k}\binom{k}{n}$.

## Top Vertices

## Lemma

Let $v \in G_{0}$, and $A$ be the adjacency matrix associated with the graph $G$. If

$$
\left(A+A^{T}\right)_{v v}^{k+1} \geq 4 \sum_{n=0}^{k}\binom{k}{n}
$$

and $\left|N_{1}^{i n}(u) \cap N_{1}^{i n}(v)\right| \geq k-1$, then the following are equivalent:
(1) $\exists u \in N_{1}^{\text {in }}(v)$ such that $u$ is a top vertex for a $(k-1)$-simplex
(3) $v$ is a top vertex for a $k$-simplex
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Way around: $(A \bullet B)_{i j}=\bigvee_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k} \wedge b_{k j}$
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\left(A+A^{T}\right)_{v v}^{k+1} \geq 4 \sum_{n=0}^{k}\binom{k}{n}
$$
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## Lemma

Let $G$ be any directed graph. Then the $i, j$ entry of $\widetilde{A} \odot \widetilde{A^{\bullet 2}} \odot \ldots \odot \widetilde{A^{\bullet k}}$, denoted by $\tilde{a}_{i j}^{(k)}$, nonzero if and only if there is a path of length 1 , length $2, \ldots$, length $k$ from vertex $i$ to vertex $j$ without repeating any vertices.

Here, $\widetilde{X}:=X \oplus \operatorname{diag}(X)$

## Top Vertices

## Lemma

Let $v \in G_{0}$. Then $\widetilde{a}_{i v}^{(2)}$ is nonzero if and only if $v$ is a top 2 vertex.
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## Lemma
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## Lemma

If $\widetilde{a}_{i u}^{(2)} \neq 0, \widetilde{a}_{i v}^{(3)} \neq 0, u \in \widetilde{N}_{\text {in }}^{1}(v)$ (i.e., $u$ and $v$ are top 2-vertices) and $\widetilde{N}_{\text {out }}^{1}(i) \cap \widetilde{N}_{\text {in }}^{1}(u) \cap \widetilde{N}_{\text {in }}^{1}(v)$ is nonempty, then $v$ is a top 3-vertex

## Lemma

For three vertices $u, v, w$ with $u \in \widetilde{N}_{i n}^{1}(v)$ and $w \in \widetilde{N}_{i n}^{1}(v) \cap \widetilde{N}_{i n}^{1}(u)$, if $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i v}^{(4)}$ and $\widetilde{a}_{i u}^{(3)}$ and $\widetilde{a}_{i w}^{(2)}$ are nonzero, and if $\widetilde{N}_{\text {out }}^{1}(i) \cap \widetilde{N}_{i n}^{1}(v) \cap \widetilde{N}_{\text {in }}^{1}(u)$ is nonempty, then $[i, x, w, u, v]$ is a 4 simplex for all $x \in \widetilde{N}_{\text {out }}^{1}(i) \cap \widetilde{N}_{\text {in }}^{1}(v) \cap \widetilde{N}_{\text {in }}^{1}(u) \cap \widetilde{N}_{\text {in }}^{1}(w)$

## Pseudo Top Vertices

## Definition

For $v \in G_{0}$, and any integer $k \geq 1, u$ is said to be a $k$-pseudotop vertex if $\exists u \in \widetilde{N}_{i n}^{1}(v)$ that is a $(k-1)$-semitop vertex such that $\left|\widetilde{N}_{\text {out }}^{1}(i) \cap \widetilde{N}_{\text {in }}^{1}(u) \cap \widetilde{N}_{\text {in }}^{1}(v)\right|>k-3$, where $i$ is a vertex such that $\widetilde{a}_{i u}^{(k-1)}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_{i v}^{(k)}$ are nonzero. For $k=0$, all vertices are defined to be 0 -semitop vertices. The integer $k$ is said to be the dimension of the pseudotop vertex.


## Pseudotop Vertex Neural Network

## Algorithm 7 Required pre-processing for PTVNN

1: Find pseudotop vertices
2: Label every vertex $v$ with its maximum dimension
3: Partition vertices based on dimension
4: Form partition vector $R(v)=\left([v],\left[w_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[w_{n}\right]\right)$, where $w_{i} \in N_{i n}(v)$ \{Refine partition $\}$
5: If $R(v)=R(u)$, then $[u]=[v]$.
6: Repeat until refinement stabilizes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{a}_{v}^{t+1} & =\operatorname{AGG}\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}^{t}, \mathbf{x}_{u}^{t}: u \in N_{i n}(v)\right) \\
\mathbf{x}_{v}^{t+1} & =\operatorname{COMBINE}\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}^{t}, \mathbf{a}_{v}^{t+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Pseudotop Vertex Neural Network

## Architecture 1

- Make one hot encoding of partition $p$ after refinement
- If $x_{v}$ is feature vector of $v$, then form $\left[x_{v} \cdot p\right]$
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## Architecture 2

- Make one hot encoding of vertex dimension $d$
- Make one hot encoding of refinement index $r$
- If $x_{v}$ is feature vector of $v$, then form [ $x_{v}, d . r$ ]
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## Architecture 1

- Make one hot encoding of partition $p$ after refinement
- If $x_{v}$ is feature vector of $v$, then form $\left[x_{v} \cdot p\right]$


## Architecture 2

- Make one hot encoding of vertex dimension $d$
- Make one hot encoding of refinement index $r$
- If $x_{v}$ is feature vector of $v$, then form [ $x_{v}$. d.r]


## Architecture 3

- Make multi hot encoding of vertex dimension $d$
- Make multi hot encoding of partition indices $k$
- If $x_{v}$ is feature vector of $v$, then form [ $x_{v} \cdot k . d$ ]


## Implementation

Dataset: Directed citation network[HFZ $\left.{ }^{+} 20\right]$ with 40 subject areas. Task: subject area classification.
Code: https://abdullahnaeemmalik.github.io/portfolio/ptvnn/
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## Implementation

Dataset: Directed citation network[HFZ $\left.{ }^{+} 20\right]$ with 40 subject areas.
Task: subject area classification.
Code: https://abdullahnaeemmalik.github.io/portfolio/ptvnn/
The training is performed on the papers published until 2017, validated on those published in 2018, and tested on those published since 2019.
Number of nodes $=169343$. Number of edges $=1166243$. Number of 2-simplices $=2332322$
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